# **TEWKESBURY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Report to:	Planning Committee			
Date of Meeting:	20 December 2016			
Subject:	Current Appeals and Appeal Decisions Update			
Report of:	Paul Skelton, Development Manager			
Corporate Lead:	Deputy Chief Executive			
Lead Member:	Cllr D M M Davies, Lead Member for Built Environment			
Number of Appendices:	One			

### **Executive Summary:**

To inform Members of current Planning and Enforcement Appeals and of Communities and Local Government (CLG) Appeal Decisions issued September and October 2016.

### **Recommendation:**

### To CONSIDER the report.

## **Reasons for Recommendation:**

To inform Members of recent appeal decisions.

Resource Implications: None
Legal Implications: None
Risk Management Implications: None
Performance Management Follow-up: None
Environmental Implications: None

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

**1.1** At each Planning Committee meeting, Members are informed of current Planning and Enforcement Appeals and of Communities and Local Government (CLG) Appeal

Decisions that have recently been issued.

# 2.0 APPEAL DECISIONS

**2.1** The following decision has been issued by the First Secretary of State of CLG:

Application No	15/00801/FUL			
Location	Land to the North of Cursey Lane Copse Green Farm Elmstone Hardwicke Glos GL51 9TF			
Appellant	Good Energy Cursey Lane Solar Farm (No.6) Ltd			
Development	Solar Photovoltaic Farm with associated landscaping, ground based racking systems, static mounted solar panels, associated infrastructure, site security fencing and security system			
Officer recommendation	Permit			
Decision Type	Committee			
DCLG Decision	Allowed			
Reason	In allowing the appeal the Inspector noted that the proposal would generate renewable energy equivalent to the usage of some 900 households (offsetting about 1,500 tonnes of carbon dioxide emissions per year) and this should be afforded significant weight in the planning balance.			
	He also commented that although the proposal would markedly improve the biodiversity of the site and the proposals were reversible (life span of 30 years) these factors did not merit a positive benefit, but neutral ones.			
	He went on to conclude that the harm to the character and appearance of the landscape (including the cumulative impact of other solar farms in the locality) as well as harm to the outlook of two residential properties, would be limited. The renewable energy benefits, which were afforded significant weight, were considered to outweigh the other limited harms identified and the appeal was allowed.			
Date	22.11.2016			

## 3.0 ENFORCEMENT APPEAL DECISIONS

- 3.1 None
- 4.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 4.1 None
- 5.0 CONSULTATION
- 5.1 None
- 6.0 RELEVANT COUNCIL POLICIES/STRATEGIES
- 6.1 None
- 7.0 RELEVANT GOVERNMENT POLICIES
- 7.1 None
- 8.0 **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS (Human/Property)**
- 8.1 None
- 9.0 SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS (Social/Community Safety/Cultural/ Economic/ Environment)
- 9.1 None
- 10.0 IMPACT UPON (Value For Money/Equalities/E-Government/Human Rights/Health And Safety)
- 10.1 None
- 11.0 RELATED DECISIONS AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT FACTS
- 11.1 None

Background Papers:	None	
Contact Officer:	Marie Yates/Jane Bagley, Appeals Administrator01684 272221Marie.Yates@tewkesbury.gov.uk	
Appendices:	Appendix 1 – List of Appeals received.	Appendix 1

List of Appeals Received

Reference	Address	Description	Date Appeal Lodged	Appeal Procedure	Appeal Officer	Statement Due
16/00520/FUL	Fleet Road	Slimline 1000L oil storage tank at front of property	02/12/2016	W	JLL	06/01/2017

# Process Type

- Indicates Householder Appeal Indicates Written Reps Indicates Informal Hearing Indicates Public Inquiry • "HH"
- "W"
- "H"
- " 」"